Pages 1 - 60 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP ORACLE AMERICA, INC., Plaintiff, VS.) NO. C 10-03561 WHA GOOGLE INC.,) San Francisco, California Defendant.) Wednesday April 6, 2011 1:41 p.m. TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS APPEARANCES: For Plaintiff: Morrison & Foerster 755 Page Mill Road

Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018

(650) 813-5600

(650) 494-0792 (fax)

BY: Michael A. Jacobs

Marc David Peters Ruchika Agrawal Roman Swoopes

For Plaintiff: Oracle

500 Oracle Parkway M/S 50P7 Redwood Shores, CA 94065

(650) 506-9432

(650) 506-7114 (fax)

BY: Andrew C. Temkin

(Appearances continued on next page)

Reported By: Lydia Zinn, CSR #9223, RPR

Official Reporter - U.S. District Court

time. 2 MR. BABER: Well, we thought so as well. 3 THE COURT: It fell apart. 4 MR. BABER: I'm not sure it fell apart. We may be 5 moving to a different level of granularity at this point. And 6 so we will try to be sure we are each providing apples and 7 apples. And that's true whether it's a question of fact, a 8 contention, or, where appropriate, the application of fact to law. MR. PETERS: Consistent with your Honor's earlier 10 indication about getting as much information as possible 11 through interrogatory responses, that is our agreement. 12 1.3 THE COURT: Great. 14 MR. BABER: Next issue, your Honor, has to do, 15 instead of interrogatories, with the plaintiff's infringement 16 contentions under the patent local rules. 17 Oracle has declined Google's offer to allow Oracle to 18 supplement its infringement contentions again. Oracle has 19 chosen to rely on its infringement contentions as currently 2.0 framed. 2.1 Google's position that they are inadequate, in 22 particular on the issue of proof of direct infringement; but 23 the parties have agreed to disagree. 24 Google has withdrawn its offer to consent to an 25 amendment of the infringement contentions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

And both parties have agreed to simply reserve their rights for later; to tee it up, if necessary, with your Honor. MR. PETERS: That's right, your Honor. We have agreed to disagree. THE COURT: Well, all right, but you remember what I said, you know. Shifting sands, and all that of that. MR. PETERS: I know, your Honor. THE COURT: If you want to stand on your shifting sands, and they get shifted out from under you, then you just lose. End of story. So I'm not saying you will, but we've got those disclosure rules for a reason. And if your -- if your disclosures aren't good enough, there won't be a second chance. You just lose. All right. MR. BABER: And I believe the last one --THE COURT: What's next? MR. BABER: -- your Honor, was actually not an agreement, but simply to report to the Court we discussed a lot of other issues in the conference: Document productions, processes, electronic discovery. We didn't have a chance to address them all, or even resolve many of them, but we think, given the processes we've now put in place for conferences and communications, we're hopeful we will be able to address those. We have some disconnects in terms of your Honor's order on 30(b)(6) topics, for example; but we think that with a

little further work, we may be able to get those resolved so

```
that we can keep continuing forward. We've started taking
 2
   depositions and --
 3
              THE COURT:
                         Discovery cutoff is in July, so you'd
 4
   better get cracking.
 5
             MR. BABER:
                         We're moving, your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: Well, moving is not -- I mean, okay.
 7
   You've got to get moving, but there's a deadline.
              MR. BABER:
                         We understand.
 8
 9
              THE COURT:
                        All right. Okay. Is that it?
10
             MR. PETERS: Yes, your Honor.
11
              THE COURT:
                         You all should be working for the
12
    State Department, you did such a good job. You found a way to
13
    just find ways to agree; not really an agreement; but you're
14
    finding ways to agree. So I need you over, you know, in the
15
   Mideast, I think, to help solve some problems. Okay.
16
   you.
17
             MR. PETERS: Thank you, your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Now we're going to go to -- well, the
19
   main event, which is -- and I do need that -- I've got to be
2.0
    chairing a meeting at 3:00 p.m., so I only have 55 minutes.
21
   And I'm sorry for that, but each side gets 30 minutes. So you
22
    use the 30 minutes as you wish.
23
              Now, I don't want -- if you want to go over into
24
   arguments about the claim construction and all -- a little bit
25
    of that's okay, but right now I'm basically interested in
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, LYDIA ZINN, Official Reporter for the United States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings in C. 10-3561 WHA, Oracle America, Inc., v. Google, Inc., were reported by me, a certified shorthand reporter, and were thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete and true record of said proceedings as bound by me at the time of filing.

The validity of the reporter's certification of said transcript may be void upon disassembly and/or removal from the court file.

/s/ Lydia Zinn, CSR 9223, RPR Friday, April 8, 2011